

# Heslington Parish Neighbourhood Plan

## Minutes of the Working Group meeting held 18<sup>th</sup> March 2019 At the office of Sinclair Properties at 11.00am

Present: - David Blacketer [DB], Nick Allen [NA].Richard Bramley [RB], Richard Frost [RF], John Lawton [JL], Bill McClean [BM] and Niall McTurk [NM].

Apologies received: None

1. **DB welcomed all attendees.**
2. **The minutes** of the Working Group [WG] meeting held on 4<sup>th</sup> February 2019 were approved.
3. **Offers to join the working group.** There were none.
4. **Royal Pilgrim Communications.** This company acts for the developers of the S15 site which they call the Langwith Garden Village Site. They had offered to come and address the HPNP group to explain their plan. The WG agreed that this was not necessary.
5. **Consultation.** All the WG expressed their great thanks to DB for his work in listing the many responses and drafting clearly the possible response or action to be taken. All were pleased with the level of response. DB was concerned that no response had been received from Natural England or from the Environment Agency. DB to ask Fiona to send reminders when requested. JL offered to chase NE and RF to chase the Environment Agency. Initially will be raised with CYC at meeting on 19 March 2019.
6. **Changes necessary to Draft Plan.** Changes were required in many sections. The new NPPF produced in February 2019 has resulted in a need for changes to the Draft Plan and to the SEA. The general approach to where changes are necessary was discussed and agreed. The process was too long to achieve at this meeting and it was agreed that WG members would each address their own original section of the report. There were discussions on:
  - Green Space allocation – why chosen and a need for a strong evidence base.
  - School Lane field – no public access
  - UoY Sportsfields – too extensive
  - Main Street verges – considered as part of the highway but check with CYC.
  - HMOs are not mentioned – check with CYC.
  - Buffer zone around Campus East needs clearly defining with a map.
  - Need for policy on Climate Change and renewable energy – see Poppleton plan.
  - Need for policy on permanently empty commercial property
  - “Settlement boundary” needs defining.
  - “Built area” needs defining.
  - The University of York response – need to add references and add “as amended” to SOC wording.
  - Quod response some useful suggestions but mainly not relevant to the NP.

- Correct the number of dwellings to be built in the parish to include all the S15 development as currently proposed in Local Plan.
- Gladman response included some useful detailed comments but they are developers and have their own bias.
- Need to be aware of changes being too drastic resulting in a new consultation being required. Changes should be largely explanatory.
- CYC's suggestion that some items listed as "guidelines" could be incorporated into policies – to discuss with CYC.

**7. Action points.**

Agreed that all WG members would review responses for Residents and Consultees. (UoY to follow). All would use DB's current display template and indicate any changes in red. We are looking for a consensus on whether to amend the plan or not and reasons to support this position.

Agreed that all WG members would review the feedback for the section of the Plan for which they were responsible at the start of the process and report back. This to be completed by 29<sup>th</sup> March. Sections are:

- **DB – 1,2,3,14,15**
- **RF – 4,5,7,9**
- **JL – 6,12,13**
- **BM – 8**
- **RB – 9**
- **NA – 10, 11**
- **Additional Guidelines – ALL**

- 8. Consultant.** Agreed to complete WG's action list first and then refer Additional Guidelines to DC. Also request an invoice for 1 days work on Additional Guidelines plus a quotation for reviewing our consultation statement and basic conditions statement.
- 9. Next meeting date.** **Thursday 11<sup>th</sup> April at 11.00am** at the Village Meeting Room.